



## Functional scientific literacy among prospective teachers: A comparative study

Kamlesh Kumar<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Payel Banerjee<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Senior Research Fellow and Doctorate Fellow, Department of Education, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, A Central University, Chhattisgarh, India

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Education, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, A Central University, Chhattisgarh, India

### Abstract

Functional scientific literacy is currently considered the core aim for development of the citizens of the current century. Ability of making functional to the scientific literacy is determined as an important factor for fostering the performance of teachers in teaching and of students in learning. Many science studies have reported that gender influenced the teachers' functional scientific literacy (FSL) and understanding of science. However there are not much investigation in the field of the interaction between gender and functional scientific literacy. With the intention of attain more understanding on issue, this study aims to examine the functional scientific literacy among prospective science teachers and the influence of their gender (comparison of gender). A total 500 prospective science teachers were chosen as a sample across Chhattisgarh, a state of India. A self-made functional scientific literacy test (FSLT) was administered to investigate the prospective teachers' functional scientific literacy with three main domains of FSL namely (i) nature of science (NOS), (ii) science process skills (SPS), and (iii) Use of science understanding in daily life. The result indicates that the gender influence prospective science teachers' functional scientific literacy on each domain. In addition, Male prospective teachers' mean scores indicate that they are having high FSL than the female counterpart. The finding of the study indicate that there is critical area for improvement of female prospective teachers' FSL. This implies that pedagogy during training must be more emphasized on the way of teaching that how to make functional to the science knowledge which has been learned in the classroom, how to design the curriculum for implication in their daily life to solve the personal and social problems.

**Keywords:** prospective science teachers, Functional scientific literacy, nature of science, science process skill, science understanding

### Introduction

Educational reform in the India emphasis on the need for functional scientific literacy (FSL) to prepare rational and scientifically tempered teaching staff and learner of 21<sup>st</sup> century (NCF, 2005) <sup>[1]</sup>. To become functionally scientific literate citizen is currently considered as a central goal and critical learning outcome for science education standard in Indian (Kumar and Singh 2017, NCF, 2005) <sup>[2, 1]</sup> and in several other countries (Dani, 2009; Bybee, 2008; and Dahsah & coll, 2007) <sup>[3, 4, 5]</sup>. FSL is determined as an important factor for fostering prospective teacher science teaching. Many science education researchers have reported that gender influenced the science understanding and attitudes towards science.

Functional scientific literacy can referred as practical knowledge and understanding of basic science concepts related to individuals' life in order to improve their standard of living by means of solving their problem of daily matters. Science content in functional scientific literacy would not make emphasis on theoretical and scientific principles that are unrelated to student's daily life, but rather, the emphasis would be placed on the application of scientific knowledge to the improvement of living conditions and other aspects of daily life. Teachers are the major input in attainment functional scientific literacy (Chin, 2005) <sup>[6]</sup> and promoting it at all levels of education. Due to the significant role of science

teachers in preparing future scientifically literate citizens, they must be well equipped in science subjects and related skills. Studies on teachers' knowledge advocates that both teachers' subject knowledge and pedagogical knowledge are essential for good science teaching and student understanding (Shulman, 1987) <sup>[7]</sup>. Moreover, they must be trained to have a well-founded understanding of science and be up-to-dated of the current scientific issues affecting society every day. So teacher should use science education as functional tool in schools and society. This study, therefore, attempt to investigate whether gender of pre-service science teachers of Chhattisgarh state in India affect the functional scientific literacy. For this comparison tests have been carried out.

### Review of literature

Studies have revealed that cognitive level of achievement in science related activities and attainment of skills can be influenced by gender in favour of boys (Ige and Arowolo, 2003) <sup>[8]</sup>. Yang (2004) <sup>[9]</sup> reported that males were found to be better than females in constructing and using theories of science. Likewise, a study done by Valanides (1997) <sup>[10]</sup> revealed that males had significantly better performance than females on scientific reasoning. Further, Catsambis (1995) <sup>[11]</sup> also found in a study that some female students have higher probabilities of enrolling in high-ability classes than males.

Yet, female students have less positive attitudes toward science, play a part in fewer relevant extracurricular activities, and seek less often to science careers than males. Scientific attitude of students and their career interests vary according to students' gender as well as their racial or ethnic background. In contrast to the above findings Nwosu and Ebere Ibe (2014)<sup>[12]</sup> observed that if equal opportunities are given in science and technology curriculum and instruction, every student would perform well in terms of achievement of a reasonable scientific literacy levels irrespective of gender. In some other studies, Female PSTs makes a significant difference in terms of understanding of NOS and comprehension of basic science concepts (Cavas, Ozdem, Cavas, Cakiroglu, & Ertepinar, 2013)<sup>[13]</sup>. Similarly, Altun, Sibel, and Turgutm (2011)<sup>[14]</sup> reported that Gender has no effect on scientific literacy levels.

### Objective of the study

The current study aimed to find out answer to the question:  
Is there a gender difference among prospective science teachers with functional scientific literacy as a whole?  
Is there a gender difference among prospective science teachers with functional scientific literacy as a part (Domains of FSL)?

### Hypotheses

Null hypotheses that guided the study were:

1. There will be significant difference between mean score of Functional scientific literacy as whole of male and female prospective science teachers.
2. There will be significant difference between mean score of Functional scientific literacy as part of male and female prospective science teachers.

### Method of the study

#### Research Design

This Research was conducted to explore the functional scientific literacy of the prospective science teachers with respect to gender by using survey research.

#### Participants/ Sample

The participants for this study were 500 prospective science teachers (250 Male and 250 Female) taken as a sample across Chhattisgarh state of India. This study was administered on the prospective teachers of third semester (year 2017) of teacher training programme and they had completed their 3 month practice teaching schools. These participants had opted either Physical or Biological science discipline as a teaching method.

#### Instrument

Functional Scientific literacy Test (FSLT) was developed by investigator. The FSLT consists of 38 items of three main dimension that is Nature of science (13), Science process skills (12) and Use of science understanding in daily life (13). Each dimension has three tier of question from the knowledge, understanding and Application level. Each item having

multiple choice question. Correct responses were awarded one point and zero mark given for wrong answer.

This instrument had been established validity and reliability. Reliability of the test was found to be .86 by calculating internal consistency using Cronbach's alpha which is considered sound for use in the study. Discrimination index and difficulty level were also established.

#### Domain of Functional Scientific literacy (FSL)

Functional scientific literacy has unification of three main components includes (1) Nature of Science (2) science process skills and (3) Use of science understanding in daily life.

#### Nature of Science (NOS)

Nature of science describes how science functions (Martin-Dunlop, 2013 and Mc Comas, 1998)<sup>[15, 16]</sup>. Includes *knowledge, process, society & community, the scientific community, and interrelationship* between these components. It prepares students for socio-scientific decision-making and scientific problem-solving, enhancing functional scientific literacy (Shamos, 1995)<sup>[17]</sup>, or multi-dimensional scientific literacy (Bybee, 1997)<sup>[18]</sup>.

#### Science process skills (SPS)

*Science process skill* is the event involves a series of scientific questions or tasks that contain the use of one or more process skills. These skills include *Observing, hypothesis formulation or inferring, influencing, identifying variables, design experiment or experimenting, graphical representation*. This set of skills yield developing organising possible solutions for dealing with the problems of human life and society in any step and domain of life.

#### Use of science understanding in daily life

It deals with the use of the principles and findings of sciences in everyday life, rather limited to universities or laboratories. It rejects a school science which is disconnected from their own lives and circumstances, a depersonalized science, where there is no space for themselves and their ideas (UNESCO, 2010)<sup>[19]</sup>. This implicates rationality & novelty, critical & creative thinking, use of science beyond classroom, personal & social relevancies, & solving daily life problem.

#### Data collection and analysis

For investigating functional scientific literacy among prospective science teachers, the FSLT was administered to the prospective teachers at the training instructions. For analysis of functional scientific literacy, significance of gender differences was analysed using mean, standard deviation, t-test between gender scores.

#### Result

The assumption of homogeneity of variance was tested using the Levene's test and its results are shown in Table 1. Levene's test result p-value > 0.05 showed the homogeneity of the variances between groups.

**Table 1:** Levene's Test for Equality of Variances

FSL	F	df1	df2	Sig. (p>.005)
Nature of science (NOS)	2.452	1	498	.118
Science process skills	1.151	1	498	.284
Use science understanding in daily life	.301	1	498	.583

The comparison of prospective teachers' functional scientific literacy scores using mean, standard deviation, and t-test explored that male and female prospective science teachers differs significantly in their functional scientific literacy as a whole ( $p < .05$ ). The independent t-test, and p-value for

prospective science teachers' functional scientific literacy are display in table 2.

$H_0$  1. There is no significant difference between the mean scores of FSL (as a whole) of male and female prospective science teachers.

**Table 2:** t- test result for significance of mean difference of FSL on gender

Variable	Gender	N	Mean	SD	t-value	Sig.(2-tailed)
Functional scientific literacy (FSL)	Male	250	24.39	5.271	6.359	.001* $p < 0.05$
	Female	250	21.62	4.409		

\*Significant at 0.05 level (df = 498)

On the basis of result (given in table 1) it can be assumed that the t-value is 6.359 having p- value less than 0.05, indicates that the mean Score of FSL of male and female prospective teachers differ significantly. Thus the Null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) that there is no significant difference in mean Scores of FSL (as a whole) of male and female prospective teachers is rejected. Therefore, it may be said that both male and female prospective teachers were found to have different levels of FSL.

Additionally, the mean score (24.39) of male prospective teachers showing higher than the mean score (21.62) of

female counterpart. This indicates that male prospective teachers are more functionally scientific literate than the female prospective teachers.

When the dimension of FSL were considered, it found that male and female prospective science teachers differs significantly on their domain of FSL too, viz. it shows difference also on Nature of science, Science process skills, and use of science understanding in daily life. The independent t-test, and p-value for prospective science teachers' functional scientific literacy are display in table 3.

**Table 3:** t-test results for mean difference on FSL domain based on gender

Functional scientific literacy (FSL) domain	Gender	N	Mean	SD	t	Sig.(2 tailed) p-value
Nature of science (NOS)	Male	250	8.42	1.933	6.120	.001*
	Female	250	7.32	2.083		
Science process skills (SPS)	Male	250	7.46	2.020	6.849	.001*
	Female	250	6.24	1.989		
Use of science understanding in daily life	Male	250	8.40	1.933	3.876	.001*
	Female	250	7.72	2.012		

\*Significant at 0.05 level (df = 498),  $p < .05$ .

On the basis of the result (see table 3), it can be seen that the t-values of NOS, SPS, and 'Use of science understating in daily life' are 6.120, 6.849, & 3.876 respectively and p- values ( $p < 0.05$ ), indicates that the mean Score on each domain as a part of FSL of male and female prospective science teachers differ significantly. Thus the Null hypothesis ( $H_0$ ) that there is no significant difference between mean Scores FSL as a part (domain wise) of male and female prospective teachers, is rejected. So, it may be assumed that both male and female prospective teachers were found to have different levels on Nature of science, Science process skills, and use of science understanding in daily life.

Furthermore, the mean score of male prospective science teachers showing higher than the mean score of female counterpart on all of those three domains of FSL. This indicates that male prospective teachers are more functionally scientific literate on each part of FSL than the female prospective science teachers.

**Discussion**

Research related to functional scientific literacy shows that teacher is one of the most important component in the process of learning. Therefore, a lot of studies have been dedicated to understand the teachers' knowledge, attitude, beliefs, skills, competence etc. in the field of science teaching. The main reason underlying these intense research is the belief that teachers' cognition, views and actions would be important in shaping future generations. So, they must be trained well during their training programme. This study is also conducted on the belief that the science teachers' functional scientific knowledge is significant in the achievement of functional scientific literacy at all levels of education (Chin, 2005)<sup>[6]</sup>.

The results overall showed that there are differences between genders in respect to FSL and it domain. The evidence is consistent with the research finding of Nwosu and Ebere Ibe (2014)<sup>[20]</sup> who found that the functional scientific literacy of students differ significantly in respect to gender with a better

performance of male students. almost same result had been found in studies of Yang (2004)<sup>[9]</sup>; Ige and Arowolo (2003)<sup>[8]</sup>; Valanides (1997)<sup>[10]</sup> and Catsambis (1995)<sup>[11]</sup> in favour of male that they are better in constructing and using theories of science, had significantly better performance scientific reasoning than female.

In contrast to the result of present study, Nikam (2013)<sup>[21]</sup> who found equal level of functional scientific literacy among male and female prospective teachers which was enhanced by teaching strategies. Likewise, Bacank and Gokdere (2009)<sup>[22]</sup>, and Beaumont-Walters and Kola (2001)<sup>[23]</sup> have examined the relation between gender and effectiveness of the teaching strategies for functional scientific literacy and science process skills (as it is the part of FSL) among prospective teachers, and concluded that there is no much difference in the level of scientific literacy among male and female prospective teachers. The similar pattern was observed by Nwosu and Ibe (2014)<sup>[12]</sup>; Cavas, et al. (2013)<sup>[13]</sup> and Altun, Sibel, and Turgutm (2011)<sup>[14]</sup> that there would not be gap between gender if equal opportunities are given in science exposer and environment in terms of understanding, using, comprehending the NOS and science concepts.

As studies revealed that functional scientific literacy depends on some factor like understanding nature of science, science process skills, students' capability to acquire relevant and required knowledge, skills and attitudes that will enable them develop their full capacities to solve everyday life problems, improve their lives and their environment, participate entirely in decision making relating to their community progress, and be able to compete favourably are lacking. This condition may be attributed to poor student background in science and technology related tasks, ineffective science education due to teachers' lack of sufficient execution of functional scientific literacy, poor content knowledge as well as use of poor pedagogical strategies (Ibe, Nwosu, Obi, and Nwoye, 2016)<sup>[24]</sup>. So, finding in the present study, may be due having negligible amount of these factors influencing the female prospective teachers of Chhattisgarh and showing incompetent to become a functionally scientific literate and that's why differing from male prospective teachers.

### Conclusion

Functional scientific literacy has significant implication for enhancing prospective science teachers' capability of scientific, useful, and meaningful teaching. Although previous studies indicated that there is no effect of gender on scientific ability and FSL (Piraksa, Srisawasdi, & Koul, 2014)<sup>[25]</sup>. The results of the present study revealed that the functional scientific literacy does depend on gender i.e. there is an effect of gender on FSL of prospective teachers. This finding highlights the importance of the need for minimising the gender gap in terms of FSL. It is essential to give a special attention to the female prospective science teachers in order to make them skilled teachers. Besides, it is suggested that training of science curriculum transaction should be given by inquiry-based methods (Johnson & Lawson, 1998; Weld, Stier, & Birren, 2011; Zimmerman, 2007)<sup>[26, 27, 28]</sup>, and laboratory method (Friedler et al., 1990; Gunhaart & Srisawasdi, 2012; Liao & She, 2009; She & Liao, 2009)<sup>[29, 30, 31, 32]</sup> and other learner centric scientific methods which foster

prospective science teachers' ability as the core of functional scientific literacy.

### References

1. National Curriculum Framework. Position paper national focus group on teaching of science. New Delhi: National Council of Educational research and training (NCERT), 2005.
2. Kumar R, Singh S. The State of Science Education in Post-Independent India: A Synoptic Review and Future Direction. *Journal of Humanities and Social Science*. 2017; 22(3):55-58.
3. Dani D. Scientific Literacy and Purposes for Teaching Science: A Case Study of Lebanese School Teachers. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*. 2009; 4(3):289-299.
4. Bybee RW. Scientific literacy, environmental issues, and PISA2006: The 2008 Paul F Brandwein. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 2008; 17:566-585.
5. Dahsah C, Coll RK. Thai grade 10 and 11 students understanding of stoichiometry and related concepts. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 2007; 1-27.
6. Chin C. First-year pre-service in Taiwan: Do they enter the teacher program with satisfactory scientific literacy and attitudes toward science? *International Journal of Science Education*. 2005; 27(13):1549-1570.
7. Shulman LS. Knowledge and Teaching: Foundations of the new reform. *Harvard Educational Review*, 2005; 57(1):1-22.
8. Ige U, Arowolo J. Effects of Hypothetico-deductive approach on JS 3 students achievement in Integrated Science. *Journal of Science Teachers' Association of Nigeria*. 2003; 38(1&2):39-45.
9. Yang F. Exploring high school students' use of theory and evidence in an everyday context: The role of scientific thinking in environmental science decision-making *Journal of Science Education*. 2004; 26(11):1345-1364. doi:10.1080/0950069042000205404.
10. Valanides N. Formal reasoning abilities and school achievement. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 1997; 23(2):169-185.
11. Catsambis S. Gender, race, ethnicity, and science education in the middle grades. *J Res. Sci. Teach.*, 1995; 32:243-257. doi: 10.1002/tea.3660320305.
12. Nwosu AA, Eberibe Ibe. Gender and Scientific Literacy Levels: Implications for Sustainable Science and Technology Education (STE) for the 21st Century Jobs. *Journal of Education and Practice*. 2014; 5(8):113-118. Retrieved from <http://www.iiste.org/>
13. Cavas PH, Ozdem Y, Cavas B, Cakiroglu J, Ertepinar H. Turkish pre-service elementary science teachers' scientific literacy level and attitudes toward science. *Science Education International*. 2013; 24(4):383-401.
14. Altun S, Sibel, Turgut U. Determining the levels of pre-service science teachers' scientific literacy and investigating effectuality of the education faculties about developing scientific literacy. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2011; 15:783-787.
15. Martin-Dunlop CS. Prospective elementary teacher's

- understanding of the nature of science and perceptions of the classroom learning environment. *Research in Science Education*, Springer. 2013; 43:873-893.
16. McComas WF, Clough MP, Almazroa H. The role and character of the nature of science in science education. *Sci. & Educ.*, 1998; 7(6):511-532.
  17. Shamos MH. *The myth of scientific literacy*. New Brunswick and New, 1995.
  18. Bybee RW. *Achieving scientific literacy: From purposes to practices*. Heinemann, 88 Post Road West, Westport, CT, 1995.
  19. UNESCO, document. *Current challenges in basic science education*. France: UNESCO, Paris de Fontenoy, 2010. Retrieved from <http://unesdoc.unesco.org/images/0019/001914/191425e.pdf>.
  20. Nwosu AA, Ebere Ibe. *Gender and Scientific Literacy Levels: Implications for Sustainable Science and Technology Education (STE) for the 21st Century Jobs*. *Journal of Education and Practice*. 2014; 5(8):113-118. Retrieved from <http://www.iiste.org/>
  21. Nikam PS. *Development of teaching strategies for enhancing scientific literacy and scientific process skills among student teacher*. Ph.D. thesis, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, 2013.
  22. Bacanak A, Gokdere M. *Investigating level of the scientific literacy of primary school teacher candidates*. *Asia Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*. 2009; 10(1).
  23. Beaumont-Walters, Kola S. *An Analysis of High School Students' Performance on Five Integrated Science Process Skills*. *Research in Science & Technical Education*. 2001; 19(2):133-145.
  24. Ibe E, Nwosu AA Obi, CN Nwoye, Gender MN, *Levels of Attainment of Scientific Literacy among Science Students under Constructivist Instructional Model*. *International Journal of Engineering Sciences & Research Technology*. 2016; 5(7):81-90.
  25. Piraksa C, Srisawasdi N, Koul R. *Effect of Gender on Students' Scientific Reasoning Ability: A Case Study in Thailand*. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2014; 116:486-491.
  26. Johnson MA, Lawson AE. *What are the Relative Effects of Reasoning Ability and Prior Knowledge on Biology Achievement in Expository and Inquiry classes?*. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*. 1998; 35(1):89-103.
  27. Weld J, Stier M, Birren JM. *The Development of a Novel Measure of Scientific Reasoning Growth among College Freshmen: The Constructive Inquiry Science Reasoning Skills Test*. *Research and teaching*. 2011; 40(4):101-107.
  28. Zimmerman C. *The development of scientific thinking skills in elementary and middle school*. *Developmental Review*, 2007; 27:172-223.
  29. Friedler A, Zakai N, Karni O, Broder YC, Baraz L, Kotler M, *et al*. 1998; 37:5616-5622.
  30. Gunhaart A, Srisawasdi N. *Effect of integrated computer-based laboratory environment on students' physics conceptual learning of sound wave properties*. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 2012; 46:5750–5755. doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.510.
  31. Liao YW, She HC. *Enhancing Eight Grade Students' Scientific Conceptual Change and Scientific Reasoning through a Web based Learning Program*. *Educational Technology & Society*. 2009; 12(4):228-240.
  32. She HC, Liao YW. *Bridging Scientific Reasoning and Conceptual Change through Adaptive Web-Based Learning*. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*. 2009; 47(1):91-119. doi:10.1002/tea.20309.